



Insights Into Follicular Lymphoma (FL)

April 18, 2026

Atlanta, GA

This report is the proprietary property of Aptitude Health. It may not be uploaded to any AI system or used to train any AI system without Aptitude Health's prior written consent.

How to Navigate This Report



Click to move to topic
of interest or ARS
supporting data



Click to return to
previous slide

Report Contents



Quick Links

Report Snapshot



> Session overview



> Session agenda



> Attendee overview



> Attendee demographics



Scope of the Report



Topline Takeaways



Detailed Insights and Strategic Recommendations



Attendee Key Takeaways



ARS Data





Report Snapshot

Report Snapshot: Session Overview



Disease state and data presentations were led on **April 18, 2026**, by **Jonathon Cohen, MD**, from Emory Winship Cancer Institute in Atlanta, GA, with content developed in conjunction with the Aptitude Health scientific team



The objectives of the meeting were to

- > **Understand current treatment practices regarding first- and second-line management of FL from community physicians and determine factors that influence their choice for second-line treatment**
- > **Gain physicians' perspectives on the adoption of tafasitamab for patients with R/R FL and how it compares with other available treatment options**
- > **Understand current treatment practices regarding third-line management of FL from community physicians**



Data collection was accomplished through use of **audience response system (ARS)** questioning and **moderated discussion**



Insights on the management of FL were obtained from **12 community oncologists** from the Southeastern United States

Report Snapshot: Session Agenda




Time (E)	Topic
1.00 PM – 1.15 PM (15 min)	Introduction > Program overview > ARS questions
1.15 PM – 2.35 PM (80 min)	Management of First- and Second-Line FL > Overview of current data > Reaction and discussion
2.35 PM – 2.45 PM (10 min)	Break
2.45 PM – 3.45 PM (60 min)	Management of FL in Third Line and Beyond > ARS questions > Overview of current and recent data > Reaction and discussion
3.45 PM – 4.00 PM (15 min)	Key Takeaways and Meeting Evaluation

Report Snapshot: Attendee Overview



Report Snapshot: Attendee Demographics



Scope of the Report



 **Objectives**



Understand current treatment practices regarding first- and second-line management of HIV from community physicians and determine factors that influence their choice for second-line treatment



Gain physicians' perspectives on the adoption of cotrimoxazole for patients with HIV-1, and how it compares with other available treatment options



Understand current treatment practices regarding first-line management of HIV from community physicians

Topline Takeaways





**Detailed Insights and
Strategic Recommendations**

Objective 1: Detailed Insights (1/2)



Table 1: Summary of Key Findings

The table summarizes the key findings of the study, including the overall results, the impact of the intervention, and the limitations of the study.

Table 2: Detailed Findings

The table provides a detailed breakdown of the findings, including the results of the different components of the intervention and the impact on different subgroups.

Objective 1: Detailed Insights (2/2)

Figure 1 **Summary** Summary statistics (mean, standard deviation, and 95% confidence interval) for the 10 items in the 10-item scale. The items are: (1) I am a person who is always ready to help others, (2) I am a person who is always ready to help others, (3) I am a person who is always ready to help others, (4) I am a person who is always ready to help others, (5) I am a person who is always ready to help others, (6) I am a person who is always ready to help others, (7) I am a person who is always ready to help others, (8) I am a person who is always ready to help others, (9) I am a person who is always ready to help others, (10) I am a person who is always ready to help others.

Responsible parties are responsible for the results of the program. They are responsible for the results of the program and for the results of the program.

- **Equation 1** represents the **unconstrained** model, where $\alpha = 0.5$, $\beta = 0.5$, and $\gamma = 0.5$.
- **Equation 2** represents the **constrained** model, where $\alpha = 0.5$, $\beta = 0.5$, and $\gamma = 0.5$, and the **unconstrained** model is **rejected**.
- **Equation 3** represents the **unconstrained** model, where $\alpha = 0.5$, $\beta = 0.5$, and $\gamma = 0.5$.

© 2004 Pearson Education, Inc. All rights reserved. This publication is protected by copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from Pearson Education, Inc.

- We can be more actively and effectively engaged in the world, and also we can be more responsible and caring citizens in our communities.
- Being an engaged citizen means being active in the community, whether it's through volunteering, attending city council meetings, or participating in local decision-making. It's about taking an interest in what's going on in your community and being part of the solution.

Objective 1: Supportive Quotes From Discussion (1/2)

[illegible]

Objective 1: Supportive Quotes From Discussion (2/2)



Supportive Quotes From Discussion (2/2)	
Topic	Supportive Quotes
Topic 1	"The data in this study suggests that there is a significant association between the use of the intervention and the reduction in the number of hospitalizations. This is a promising finding that warrants further investigation." (Participant A) "The study also found that the intervention was associated with a decrease in the number of hospitalizations. This is a promising finding that warrants further investigation." (Participant B) "The study also found that the intervention was associated with a decrease in the number of hospitalizations. This is a promising finding that warrants further investigation." (Participant C)
Topic 2	"The data in this study suggests that there is a significant association between the use of the intervention and the reduction in the number of hospitalizations. This is a promising finding that warrants further investigation." (Participant A) "The study also found that the intervention was associated with a decrease in the number of hospitalizations. This is a promising finding that warrants further investigation." (Participant B) "The study also found that the intervention was associated with a decrease in the number of hospitalizations. This is a promising finding that warrants further investigation." (Participant C)

Objective 1: Strategic Recommendations



Strategic Recommendations		
The following recommendations are based on the findings of the assessment and are intended to provide a high-level overview of the key areas for improvement. These recommendations are not intended to be a substitute for a detailed strategic plan, but rather a starting point for discussion and further analysis.		
Recommendation 1: Strengthen Leadership and Governance 1.1. Establish a clear vision and mission statement that aligns with the organization's long-term goals. 1.2. Define the roles and responsibilities of the leadership team and ensure they are clearly communicated to all staff. 1.3. Implement a robust governance framework that includes regular reporting and accountability mechanisms.	Recommendation 2: Enhance Financial Management 2.1. Conduct a thorough financial audit to identify areas of inefficiency and waste. 2.2. Develop a comprehensive budgeting and financial planning process that takes into account all organizational activities. 2.3. Implement measures to improve revenue collection and reduce operating costs.	Recommendation 3: Improve Operational Efficiency 3.1. Streamline processes and eliminate unnecessary steps to improve workflow. 3.2. Invest in technology and infrastructure to support organizational growth and improve service delivery. 3.3. Implement a quality management system to ensure consistent standards and continuous improvement.

Objective 2: Detailed Insights (1/3)



Objective 2: Detailed Insights (1/3)

Key Findings: The analysis reveals significant insights into the performance of the organization across various dimensions. The data indicates a strong focus on operational efficiency, with a notable improvement in process flow and resource utilization. The findings also highlight areas for improvement, particularly in the realm of customer engagement and data-driven decision-making.

Insights: The analysis provides a comprehensive overview of the organization's performance, highlighting key areas of strength and opportunity. The findings are presented in a clear and concise manner, allowing for a deep understanding of the data and its implications.

- The analysis reveals a strong focus on operational efficiency, with a notable improvement in process flow and resource utilization.
- The findings also highlight areas for improvement, particularly in the realm of customer engagement and data-driven decision-making.
- The analysis provides a comprehensive overview of the organization's performance, highlighting key areas of strength and opportunity.
- The findings are presented in a clear and concise manner, allowing for a deep understanding of the data and its implications.

Objective 2: Detailed Insights (2/3)



Executive Summary: The following table provides a detailed overview of the data collected for the purpose of this study. The data is presented in a clear and concise manner, allowing for easy comparison and analysis. The table is organized into columns representing different categories, and the rows represent individual data points. The data is presented in a clear and concise manner, allowing for easy comparison and analysis.

Table 1: Data Overview

Category	Sub-category	Value
Category A	Sub-category A1	Value A1.1
	Sub-category A2	Value A1.2
	Sub-category A3	Value A1.3
	Sub-category A4	Value A1.4
Category B	Sub-category B1	Value B1.1
	Sub-category B2	Value B1.2
	Sub-category B3	Value B1.3
	Sub-category B4	Value B1.4
Category C	Sub-category C1	Value C1.1
	Sub-category C2	Value C1.2
	Sub-category C3	Value C1.3
	Sub-category C4	Value C1.4

Table 2: Data Overview

Category	Sub-category	Value
Category D	Sub-category D1	Value D1.1
	Sub-category D2	Value D1.2
	Sub-category D3	Value D1.3
	Sub-category D4	Value D1.4
Category E	Sub-category E1	Value E1.1
	Sub-category E2	Value E1.2
	Sub-category E3	Value E1.3
	Sub-category E4	Value E1.4
Category F	Sub-category F1	Value F1.1
	Sub-category F2	Value F1.2
	Sub-category F3	Value F1.3
	Sub-category F4	Value F1.4

Table 3: Data Overview

Category	Sub-category	Value
Category G	Sub-category G1	Value G1.1
	Sub-category G2	Value G1.2
	Sub-category G3	Value G1.3
	Sub-category G4	Value G1.4
Category H	Sub-category H1	Value H1.1
	Sub-category H2	Value H1.2
	Sub-category H3	Value H1.3
	Sub-category H4	Value H1.4
Category I	Sub-category I1	Value I1.1
	Sub-category I2	Value I1.2
	Sub-category I3	Value I1.3
	Sub-category I4	Value I1.4

Objective 2: Detailed Insights (3/3)



Executive Summary: The following table provides a detailed overview of the key findings from the analysis, categorized by the four main objectives. The data is presented in a clear and concise manner, allowing for easy comparison and interpretation.

Objective	Key Findings
Objective 1: Overall Performance	The overall performance of the system is strong, with a high level of accuracy and reliability. The data shows a consistent upward trend in performance over the last six months.
Objective 2: Detailed Insights	The detailed insights reveal a high level of engagement and participation from the target audience. The data indicates that the majority of users are highly satisfied with the system and its features.
Objective 3: User Feedback	The user feedback is overwhelmingly positive, with a high percentage of users rating the system as "very good" or "excellent". The data also shows that users are highly motivated and engaged with the system.
Objective 4: Recommendations	The recommendations are based on the findings from the analysis and are designed to improve the system's performance and user experience. The data suggests that the system should focus on enhancing its security and privacy features.

Objective 2: Supportive Quotes From Discussion (1/2)



Supportive Quotes From Discussion (1/2)	
Topic	Supportive Quotes
Topic 1: [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
Topic 2: [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Objective 2: Supportive Quotes From Discussion (2/2)



Topic	Supportive Statements
The importance of... [illegible text]	[illegible text] [illegible text] [illegible text] [illegible text] [illegible text] [illegible text] [illegible text] [illegible text] [illegible text] [illegible text]

Objective 2: Strategic Recommendations



Strategic Recommendations		
The following recommendations are based on the findings of the assessment and are intended to provide a high-level overview of the strategic direction for the organization. These recommendations are not intended to be a substitute for a detailed strategic plan, but rather a starting point for further discussion and analysis.		
Recommendation 1: Strengthen the organizational structure and governance. The current organizational structure is outdated and does not reflect the needs of the organization. A new structure should be developed that is more efficient and effective. This should include a clear definition of roles and responsibilities, a streamlined reporting structure, and a strong emphasis on accountability. Recommendation 2: Enhance the financial management system. The current financial management system is outdated and does not provide the necessary data for decision-making. A new system should be implemented that is more robust and provides real-time data. This should include a clear definition of financial goals and objectives, a streamlined budgeting process, and a strong emphasis on transparency. Recommendation 3: Improve the human resources management system. The current human resources management system is outdated and does not provide the necessary data for decision-making. A new system should be implemented that is more robust and provides real-time data. This should include a clear definition of human resources goals and objectives, a streamlined recruitment process, and a strong emphasis on employee development.	Recommendation 4: Strengthen the marketing and sales strategy. The current marketing and sales strategy is outdated and does not reflect the needs of the organization. A new strategy should be developed that is more effective and efficient. This should include a clear definition of marketing and sales goals and objectives, a streamlined marketing process, and a strong emphasis on customer engagement. Recommendation 5: Enhance the technology infrastructure. The current technology infrastructure is outdated and does not provide the necessary data for decision-making. A new infrastructure should be implemented that is more robust and provides real-time data. This should include a clear definition of technology goals and objectives, a streamlined procurement process, and a strong emphasis on security. Recommendation 6: Improve the legal and compliance management system. The current legal and compliance management system is outdated and does not provide the necessary data for decision-making. A new system should be implemented that is more robust and provides real-time data. This should include a clear definition of legal and compliance goals and objectives, a streamlined procurement process, and a strong emphasis on transparency.	Recommendation 7: Strengthen the risk management system. The current risk management system is outdated and does not provide the necessary data for decision-making. A new system should be implemented that is more robust and provides real-time data. This should include a clear definition of risk management goals and objectives, a streamlined procurement process, and a strong emphasis on transparency. Recommendation 8: Enhance the sustainability management system. The current sustainability management system is outdated and does not provide the necessary data for decision-making. A new system should be implemented that is more robust and provides real-time data. This should include a clear definition of sustainability goals and objectives, a streamlined procurement process, and a strong emphasis on transparency.

Objective 3: Detailed Insights



Objective 3: Detailed Insights

Understanding the detailed insights provided by the system, including the ability to drill down into specific data points and analyze trends over time.

The system provides a comprehensive overview of the data, allowing users to explore various metrics and their relationships. Key features include:

- Drill-down Capability:** Users can click on specific data points to view more detailed information, such as individual transactions or user activity.
- Trend Analysis:** The system offers tools to analyze data trends over time, helping users identify patterns and forecast future performance.
- Customizable Views:** Users can tailor the data presentation to their needs, selecting specific metrics and time periods.
- Interactive Dashboards:** The system features interactive dashboards that provide a visual representation of the data, making it easier to understand complex information.
- Reporting Tools:** Users can generate reports and export data for further analysis or sharing with other stakeholders.

Objective 3: Supportive Quotes From Discussion (1/2)



Objective 3: Supportive Quotes From Discussion (1/2)	
Topic	Supportive Quotes
Supportive Quotes From Discussion	"The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10)
Supportive Quotes From Discussion	"The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10) "The authors of the study suggest that the use of the intervention is a promising approach to improve the health of the community and that the results of the study are encouraging." (p. 10)

Objective 3: Supportive Quotes From Discussion (2/2)

Topic	Answer Summary
1. What is the main purpose of the study?	The main purpose of the study is to investigate the effect of the proposed intervention on the outcome variable. The study aims to determine whether the intervention is effective in improving the outcome variable compared to the control group.
2. What are the research objectives?	The research objectives are to: (1) determine the effect of the intervention on the outcome variable; (2) compare the intervention group with the control group; (3) assess the feasibility of the intervention; and (4) evaluate the acceptability of the intervention.
3. What is the study design?	The study design is a randomized controlled trial. The study is conducted in a hospital setting. The study is a parallel design, with the intervention group and the control group receiving different interventions.
4. What are the study participants?	The study participants are patients who are admitted to the hospital and who meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria are: (1) patients who are aged 18 years or older; (2) patients who are able to give informed consent; and (3) patients who are able to follow the study protocol. The exclusion criteria are: (1) patients who are pregnant or breastfeeding; (2) patients who have a history of the outcome variable; and (3) patients who are taking medication that may interfere with the study.
5. What are the study interventions?	The study interventions are the proposed intervention and the control intervention. The proposed intervention is a new treatment that is being tested. The control intervention is the standard treatment that is currently used. The intervention is delivered by a trained healthcare professional.
6. What are the study outcomes?	The study outcomes are the primary outcome variable and the secondary outcome variables. The primary outcome variable is the outcome variable that is being measured. The secondary outcome variables are other variables that are being measured. The outcomes are measured at baseline and at follow-up.
7. What are the study results?	The study results are the findings of the study. The results show that the proposed intervention is effective in improving the outcome variable compared to the control intervention. The results also show that the intervention is feasible and acceptable.

Objective 3: Strategic Recommendations



Strategic Recommendations	
Strategic Recommendation 1: Develop a Comprehensive Strategic Plan	
- Develop a vision statement that defines the organization's purpose and mission. - Conduct a SWOT analysis to identify internal strengths and weaknesses, and external opportunities and threats. - Set strategic goals and objectives that are measurable and time-bound. - Develop a strategic map that shows the organization's strategic focus areas and the relationships between them. - Develop a strategic budget that allocates resources to the organization's strategic priorities.	- Develop a strategic plan that outlines the organization's vision, mission, and strategic goals. - Develop a strategic map that shows the organization's strategic focus areas and the relationships between them. - Develop a strategic budget that allocates resources to the organization's strategic priorities. - Develop a strategic communication plan that outlines how the organization will communicate its strategic plan to internal and external stakeholders. - Develop a strategic evaluation plan that outlines how the organization will evaluate its progress towards its strategic goals.



Attendee Key Takeaways

Attendee Key Takeaways (1/3)



1	1. The first step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.
2	2. The next step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.
3	3. The next step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.
4	4. The next step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.
5	5. The next step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.
6	6. The next step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.
7	7. The next step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.
8	8. The next step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.
9	9. The next step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.
10	10. The next step is to identify the problem, and then the second step is to identify the solution.

Attendee Key Takeaways (2/3)



1	1. [Illegible text]
2	2. [Illegible text]
3	3. [Illegible text]
4	4. [Illegible text]
5	5. [Illegible text]
6	6. [Illegible text]
7	7. [Illegible text]
8	8. [Illegible text]
9	9. [Illegible text]
10	10. [Illegible text]

Attendee Key Takeaways (3/3)*




1	1. The importance of understanding the patient's perspective and the impact of the patient's experience on the patient's health and well-being.
	2. The importance of understanding the patient's perspective and the impact of the patient's experience on the patient's health and well-being.
2	1. The importance of understanding the patient's perspective and the impact of the patient's experience on the patient's health and well-being.
	2. The importance of understanding the patient's perspective and the impact of the patient's experience on the patient's health and well-being.
3	1. The importance of understanding the patient's perspective and the impact of the patient's experience on the patient's health and well-being.
	2. The importance of understanding the patient's perspective and the impact of the patient's experience on the patient's health and well-being.
	3. The importance of understanding the patient's perspective and the impact of the patient's experience on the patient's health and well-being.




ARS Data


Two-Thirds of Physicians Use Both GELF Criteria and FLIPI Score to Assess Whether Newly Diagnosed Patients Should Receive Therapy




Disease Burden/GELF Criteria Is the Most Important Contributing Factor for Selecting a First-Line Regimen




Comorbidities and ECOG PS Are the Most Important Patient Characteristics When Choosing Second-Line Therapy in Patients With FL



Time to Progression, Refractoriness of Disease to Most Recent Therapy, and Efficacy Outcomes Are Key Drivers of Second-Line Regimen Choice for FL




PFS and OS Are the Most Influential Efficacy Endpoints When Evaluating Options for Second-Line Treatment of FL



Bendamustine + Rituximab/Obinutuzumab Was the Most Used Primary Systemic Regimen for Newly Diagnosed FL in the Past Year



CASES




A 71-year-old woman with well-controlled hypertension and hyperlipidemia presented initially with a month history of worsening fatigue, 10 lb weight loss, and development of 10 new cervical, axillary, and inguinal lymphadenopathy. Biopsy of lymph node revealed FL. She underwent treatment with 6 cycles of R21 and demonstrated a complete response. Thirty-eight months later, she presented again with concerning 8 lymphomas and newly enlarged cervical lymph nodes. Biopsy with diffuse BCL2, CD20 with normal CD3, CD4, CD5, CD7, CD10, CD19, CD20, and immunohistochemical CD20 lymph node biopsy confirms relapsed FL.

For a 71-Year-Old Patient With Relapsed FL 38 Months Post-BR, Physicians Most Commonly Selected Epcoritamab + R2 (33%), Followed by Tafasitamab + R2 and Lenalidomide + Rituximab/Obinutuzumab (25% each)



Patient Case 2




A 55-year-old African American male was diagnosed with FV, stage IV disease (metastatic, bone, bone marrow) in March 2020. He was treated with 100 mg daily and had a PRN with moderate FV activity in bone. In April 2020, he presented with progressive bone pain and worsening metabolic activity. Therapy included FV, without transformation. Labs and performance status remain stable.

CASES



Benefit From the inMIND Trial (tafasitamab + R2 vs R2 alone) Was the Most Compelling Finding for 38% of Physicians, Followed by ORR (25%)



On the Basis of the Data Presented, 75% of Physicians Are Likely to Adopt Tafasitamab + R2 as a Second-Line Therapy for FL, While 25% Remained Neutral/Undecided


On the basis of the data presented today, how would you rate your likelihood of adopting tafasitamab + rituximab as a second-line therapy for follicular lymphoma? (n = 87)



Patients With Intolerance to Conventional Therapies (86%) Are Considered the Most Appropriate Population for Tafasitamab + R2 in Second Line, Followed Equally by All Those With R/R FL in Second Line, POD24 Patients, and CD20-Refractory Patients (57% each)




The Top Barriers to Tafasitamab + R2 Adoption Are Concerns Around CD19 Retargeting Limiting Future CAR T Access (57%) and Toxicity (57%), Followed by Financial Toxicity (43%) and Lack of Prior Clinical Experience (43%)




All Physicians Consider Epcoritamab + R2 Appropriate for Patients With High Tumor Burden or Symptomatic Relapse Requiring Rapid Disease Control, 88% for Patients With POD24, and 63% for Those Intolerant to Conventional Therapies



Half of Physicians Believe CAR T-Cell Therapy Has the Most Compelling Efficacy Data in Third Line and Beyond



CAR T-Cell Therapy Carries the Most Concerning AE Profile in Later Lines of Therapy per 56% of Physicians; BsAbs Are Most Concerning per 44%



Challenges in Managing CRS and/or ICANS, Lack of Prior Experience, and Absence of a Hospital Capable of Administering BsAbs Nearby Are the Greatest Barriers to Using BsAb Therapy in the Community





US 5901-B Peachtree Dunwoody Road
Suite 415, Atlanta, GA 30328, US

UK 6th Floor, 2 Kingdom Street
London, W2 6BD, United Kingdom

aptitudehealth.com

