



CASES

INSIGHTS INTO CHRONIC LYMPHOCYtic LEUKEMIA

July 2020

HOW TO NAVIGATE THIS REPORT



Click to move to topic of interest or ARS supporting data



Click to return to previous slide

CONTENTS



Topic	
Study Objective	
Report Snapshot	
Topline Takeaways	
Participant Demographics	
Key Insights	
Advisor Key Takeaways	
ARS Data	

STUDY OBJECTIVE



- > To gain perspectives of advisors from the southeast region of the United States on the management of newly diagnosed and relapsed/refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)

- > A moderated roundtable discussion focusing on treatment of CLL was held online on July 8, 2020
- > Disease state and data presentations were developed in conjunction with Dr Matthew Davids from Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
- > The group of advisors comprised 9 community oncologists from the southeast region of the United States
 - Community oncologists were invited from Alabama, Georgia, Florida, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia
 - Attendees of the roundtable represented community oncologists from Georgia and Florida
- > Insights on the following CLL therapies were obtained: acalabrutinib, ibrutinib, zanubrutinib, obinutuzumab, rituximab, venetoclax, duvelisib, idelalisib, FCR, BR
- > Data collection was accomplished through use of audience response system questioning and in-depth moderated discussion



CASES

Topline Takeaways

First-Line Therapy

Ibrutinib is commonly prescribed with or without rituximab across all CLL patient types in the first-line



CASES

Participant Demographics



PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

How many unique patients with CLL are you currently following? (N = 8*)



What percentage of your CLL patients have del(17p) and/or TP53 mutations? (N = 8*)



DISCLAIMER: This information is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an offer of any financial product or service. It is not intended to be used as a basis for investment decisions. Please consult your financial advisor for more information.



CASES

Key Insights

FIRST-LINE THERAPY (1/2)

Topic	Insights and Data
Treatment drivers	<p>In the frontline setting, advisors prescribe BTK inhibitors across all types of CLL patients. Usage of CIT is mainly seen in younger patients and increases in patients with <i>IGHV</i> mutations. Venetoclax (+ obinutuzumab) use in the</p>

FIRST-LINE THERAPY (2/2)

Topic	Insights and Data
Response monitoring and	<p>Most advisors are currently not utilizing MRD to assess patient response in their practice and are not very clear on how to incorporate MRD testing into clinical practice</p> 

QUOTES – FIRST-LINE CLL (1/2)

“If they [younger patients] are mutated, I would still

...
...
...

...
...
...

...
...
...

...
...
...

...
...
...

...
...
...
...
...
...

...
...
...
...
...
...

MANAGEMENT OF RELAPSED/REFRACTORY DISEASE (1/2)



Topic	Insights and Data
Treatment	Following CIT in the frontline setting, most advisors reported choosing BTK inhibitors for second-



MANAGEMENT OF RELAPSED/REFRACTORY DISEASE (2/2)



Topic	Insights and Data
Treatment	<ul style="list-style-type: none">3/4 of the advisors preferred venetoclax with obinutuzumab for an older patient (17p deletion), previously



QUOTES – RELAPSED/REFRACTORY CLL



“Well, I think now learning more about the

“I like the fact that it’s [venetoclax] a limited



Advisor Key Takeaways



KEY TAKEAWAYS (1/2)



Dr 1

- Need to check the mutations up front

Dr 4

- In private practice, MRD is not something that all of us are

KEY TAKEAWAYS (2/2)



Dr 7

- Thinking about early transplant in the relapsed setting

Dr 9

- I think FCR in first-line is still a viable option



CASES

ARS Data





CASES

First-Line CLL



ON A SCALE OF 1–5 (1 IS VERY LITTLE, 5 IS A GREAT DEAL), HOW MUCH DOES EACH OF THE FOLLOWING PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS IMPACT YOUR FIRST-LINE THERAPY CHOICE FOR YOUR CLL PATIENTS? (N = 9)

CASES



WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING EFFICACY-RELATED OUTCOMES DO YOU CONSIDER MOST IMPORTANT WHEN DETERMINING FIRST-LINE THERAPY FOR YOUR CLL PATIENTS? PLEASE SELECT YOUR TOP 2. (N = 9)



HOW IMPORTANT IS THE ABILITY TO STOP THERAPY (WITHOUT DISEASE PROGRESSION OR TOXICITY) IN YOUR FIRST-LINE THERAPY CONSIDERATION? (N = 8*)



WHAT FIRST-LINE REGIMEN DO YOU ROUTINELY USE FOR A 50-YEAR-OLD PS 0 PATIENT WITH NO MAJOR COMORBIDITIES (WITHOUT *DEL[17P]*/TP53 MUTATION OR *IGHV* MUTATION)? (N = 9)



WHAT FIRST-LINE REGIMEN DO YOU ROUTINELY USE FOR A 50-YEAR-OLD PS 0 PATIENT WITH NO MAJOR COMORBIDITIES (WITHOUT *DEL[17P]/TP53* MUTATION; *IGHV* MUTATION POSITIVE)? (N = 9)



WHAT FIRST-LINE REGIMEN DO YOU ROUTINELY USE FOR A 50-YEAR-OLD PS 0 PATIENT WITH NO MAJOR COMORBIDITIES (POSITIVE FOR *DEL[17P]/TP53* MUTATION; *IGHV* MUTATION NEGATIVE)? (N = 9)



WHAT FIRST-LINE REGIMEN DO YOU ROUTINELY USE FOR A 75-YEAR-OLD PS 0 PATIENT WITH NO MAJOR COMORBIDITIES (WITHOUT *DEL[17P]*/TP53 MUTATION OR *IGHV* MUTATION)? (N = 9)



> A 57-year-old patient with CLL (no 17p deletion or *TP53* mutation) has been on

[Blurred text block]

[Blurred text block]

AT THIS STAGE YOUR PREFERRED APPROACH IS: (N = 9)



100%





CASES

Relapsed/Refractory CLL



WHAT IS YOUR PREFERRED SECOND-LINE THERAPY IN A 55-YEAR-OLD PS 0 CLL PATIENT WHO RECEIVED FCR OR OTHER CIT AS FIRST-LINE THERAPY AND ATTAINED A CR THAT LASTED 3 YEARS? PATIENT HAS NO 17P DELETION OR *TP53* MUTATION, AND *IGHV* MUTATIONAL STATUS IS UNKNOWN. (N = 7*)



WHAT IS YOUR PREFERRED SECOND-LINE THERAPY IN A CLL PATIENT WHO IS 55 YEARS OF AGE, WAS TREATED WITH IBRUTINIB FRONTLINE THERAPY, AND ATTAINED A 4-YEAR DISEASE-FREE INTERVAL? PATIENT HAD NO EVIDENCE OF 17P DELETION AND/OR *TP53* MUTATION, AND HE HAD MUTATED *IGHV* STATUS. (N = 8*)



- > A 75-year-old patient with 17p-deleted CLL was treated with ibrutinib monotherapy

[Blurred text block]

- > [Blurred text block]

YOUR PREFERRED SECOND-LINE THERAPY IS: (N = 8*)





US Headquarters

5901-C Peachtree Dunwoody Road NE
Suite 200, Atlanta, GA 30328, US

EU Headquarters

Wilhelmina van Pruisenweg 104
2595 AN The Hague, the Netherlands

[apptitudehealth.com](https://www.apptitudehealth.com)